PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com Shri Saraj Singh (ASI Sr.), S/o Sh. Jagtar Singh, R/o Ward No.13, Backside of Kalra Hospital, Makhu, Tehsil Zira, Distt. Ferozepur.



--Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o DGP, Armed Battalion, PAP, Jalandhar Cantt.

First Appellate Authority:

O/o DGP, Punjab Armed Police, Jalandhar.

Appeal Case No.: 2873 of 2021

Present:-

Shri Saraj Singh (ASI Sr.), appellant is present. ASI Balwinder Pal Singh is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o DGP, Armed Battalion, PAP, Jalandhar Cantt.

ORDER

Shri Saraj Singh (ASI Sr.), appellant filed RTI application dated 04.11.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o DGP, Armed Battalion, PAP, Jalandhar Cantt. A reply was sent to him by the SPIO vide letter no.16777 dated 27.11.2020 and the information sought by him was denied U/s 24(4) of the RTI Act, 2005. But not satisfied with the reply of the SPIO, the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 25.02.2021, which was also rejected by the First Appellate Authority vide letter no.4135 dated 15.03.2021. Then the appellant filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 16.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Both the parties are present today. The appellant stated that no information has been provided to him by the SPIO.

The respondent stated that the information sought by the appellant cannot be provided to him as Punjab Armed Police has been exempted by the Govt. of Punjab vide Notification dated 07.06.2016.

The respondent has sent a mail to the Commission on 12.10.2021, diarized vide no. 23275, which is a copy of letter no. 16132 dated 11.10.2021 along with a detailed reply of First Appellate Authority-cum-Inspector General of Police, PAP-II, Jalandhar and a copy of Gazette Notification dated 7th June, 2016 issued by the Govt. of Punjab through which some security agencies have been exempted from the purview of Right to Information Act, 2005 and at point no. 2 of the Notification, Armed Police including Punjab Armed Police has been exempted by the Govt. of Punjab.

I have gone through the detailed reply of the respondent and satisfied that the Punjab Armed Police (PAP) does not come within the ambit of Right to Information Act, 2005 and is exempted U/s 24(4) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 by the Punjab Government vide Notification No. S.O.49/C.A.22/2005/S.24/2016 dated 07.06.2016. The present appeal is devoid of any merit, hence **dismissed and disposed of** accordingly.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated: 13.10.2021

Respondent

Pasic Settle Mormation

Shri Gurpreet Singh, S/o Sh. Surinder Singh, VPO Koliawali, Tehsil Malout, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:

O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No.: 2885 of 2021

Respondent

--Appellant.

Present:-

Shri Gurpreet Singh, appellant is absent. ASI Tarsem Lal-1689/LDH is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

ORDER

Shri Gurpreet Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 31.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO then the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 17.05.2021. Then the appellant filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 23.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

The appellant is absent without any intimation to the Commission, whereas ASI Tarsem Lal is present on behalf of the SPIO.

The respondent stated that the appellant sought copy of service book of Woman Constable Smt. Paramjit Kaur-3916/LDH, but she has not given her consent for disclosing her personal information, so the information cannot be provided to the appellant U/s 8(1)(j) and 11 of the RTI Act, 2005 being personal information. He further stated that the reply regarding this has already been sent to the appellant vide letter no.1211 dated 01.04.2021 and letter no.176-FA dated 23.06.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.2885 dated 30.09.2021 along with a copy of letter no. 1211 dated 01.04.2021 and letter no.176-FA dated 23.06.2021.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 31.03.2021 and also gone through the letters sent by the SPIO. The appellant has sought copy of service book of Woman Constable Smt. Paramjit Kaur-3916/LDH, which is personal information and can only be accessed if a larger public interest is involved, but in the present case the appellant has failed to show any larger public interest in his appeal. Hence the information sought by the appellant is denied U/s 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005 and accordingly the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Ajay Kumar, S/o Shri Prem Chand, Village Bahman Majra, P.O. Ghagga, Tehsil Patran, Distt. Patiala.

.....Appellant.

Public Information Officer, O/o Asstt. Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Asstt. Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Patiala.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1266 of 2021

Vs

Present:- Shri Ajay Kumar, appellant is absent. Shri Rohit Garg, SPIO-cum-Excise Officer, O/o Asstt. Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Patiala is present.

ORDER

Shri Ajay Kumar, appellant filed RTI application dated 06.11.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Asstt. Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Patiala.

Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX MEETING.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent SPIO is present and he stated that in compliance to the Order dated 25.08.2021 the sought for information/reply along with demand draft of Rs.2,000/- as compensation has been provided by hand to the appellant on 29.09.2021 and he is satisfied with the information/reply. He further stated that the appellant has refused to accept the amount of compensation and given in writing that he is satisfied with the information/reply amount of compensation.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the First Appellate Authority-cum-Asstt. Commissioner (Excise), Patiala Range, Patiala on 06.10.2021, diarized vide no.22695, which is a copy of letter no.978 dated 05.10.2021 along with attested copy of information and a duly signed written submission dated 29.09.2021 executed by Shri Ajay Kumar, appellant.

I have gone through letter no.978 dated 05.10.2021 and the above mentioned facts have been submitted by the respondent. I have also gone through the duly signed written submission dated 29.09.2021 executed by the appellant and he submitted that he has received the information/reply and he is satisfied with the information/reply and he do not want to receive the amount of compensation of Rs.2,000/-

As the respondent has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and provided the sought for information/reply to the appellant and the appellant is satisfied with the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.



Shri Gurbax Singh Charaya (Er.) # 8, Basant Kunj, P.O. Ladhewali, Jalandhar.

Vs

.....Appellant.

Public Information Officer, O/ o SDM-1, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority: O/o SDM-1, Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2024 of 2021

Present:- Shri Gurbax Singh Charaya (Er.), appellant is present. Smt. Harmesh Rani, SPIO-cum-Suptd., O/o SDM-1, Jalandhar is present.

ORDER

Shri Gurbax Singh Charaya (Er.), appellant filed RTI application dated 18.06.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SDM-1, Jalandhar.

Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX MEETING.

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent SPIO stated that the sought for information/reply has already been sent to the appellant on 08.10.2021.

The appellant stated that he has received the information/eply sent by the SPIO and he is satisfied with the information.

As the respondent has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and provided the sought for information/reply to the appellant and the appellant is satisfied with the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 13.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Vs

Shri Jasbir Singh, Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bholapur, Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh, Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Public Information Officer, O/ o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority: O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2146 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant is absent. ASI Tarsem Lal, Belt No.1689/LDH is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

ORDER

Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 11.01.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the sought for information has been provided by hand to the appellant on 31.08.2021 24.09.2021 and the appellant has executed a duly signed receipt after receiving the information.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.2146 dated 29.09.2021 along with a photocopy of duly signed receipt dated 31.08.2021 and 24.09.2021 executed by the appellant, Shri Jasbir Singh.

I have gone through letter no.2146 dated 29.09.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that in compliance to the Order dated 26.08.2021 passed by the Commission the sought for information has been provided by hand to the appellant. I have also gone through the duly signed written receipt of the appellant and he has submitted that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied with the information and also requested to close the case.

As the SPIO has resolve the matter involved in the RTI application and provided the sought for information to the appellant and the appellant is satisfied with the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

> (Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Jaspal Singh, S/o Shri Avtar Singh, H. NO. 1205, Gali No.8, Hussainpura East, Amritsar.

.....Appellant.

Vs

(**Regd.**) Public Information Officer, O/ o Commissioner of Police, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority: O/o Commissioner of Police, Amritsar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2147 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jaspal Singh, appellant is absent. Inspector Sarwan Singh is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar.

ORDER

Shri Jaspal Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 01.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Amritsar.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the sought for information has been provided by hand to the appellant on 21.09.2021 and the appellant has executed a duly signed receipt after receiving the information.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 04.10.2021, diarized vide no.22399, which is a copy of letter no.5051/RTI dated 24.09.2021 along with a copy of letter no.890/RTI dated 03.03.2021, copy of letter no.1717/RTI dated 31.03.2021 and photocopy of duly signed receipt dated 21.09.2021 executed by the appellant, Shri Jaspal Singh.

I have gone through letter no.5051/RTI dated 24.09.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that in compliance to the Order dated 26.08.2021 passed by the Commission the sought for information has been provided by hand to the appellant. I have also gone through the duly signed written receipt of the appellant and he has submitted that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied with the information and also requested to close the case.

As the SPIO has resolve the matter involved in the RTI application and provided the sought for information to the appellant and the appellant is satisfied with the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.



Shri Jagdeep Singh, S/o Shri Dilbagh Singh, R/ 139, Street No.2, Upkar Nagar, Civil Lines, Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Executive Officer, O/o Panchayat Samiti, Kahnuwan, Distt. Gurdaspur.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Distt. Dev. & Panchayat Officer, Gurdaspur.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1954 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jagdeep Singh, appellant is absent. Shri Vijay Kumar, Suptd. is present on behalf of Shri Sukhjinder Singh, BDPO, Kahnuwan, Distt. Gurdaspur.

ORDER

Shri Jagdeep Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 22.01.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Executive Officer, O/o Panchayat Samiti, Kahnuwan, Distt. Gurdaspur.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that in compliance to the Order passed by the Commission the remaining information regarding point nos. 2, 3 and 5 of the RTI application has already been provided to the appellant vide letter no.2585 dated 18.08.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.2585 dated 18.08.2021. I have gone through the said letter and it is submitted by the SPIO that the attested copies of information regarding point nos.2, 3 and 5 of the RTI application has been provided to the appellant. The appellant has also made a duly signed noting on the said letter after receiving the information "received information related with point nos.2, 3 and 5."

As the SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and provided the sought for information to the appellant by hand, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

> (Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Kesar Singh, S/o Shri Chanan Singh, R/o B-32/1641, Luxmi Colony, Main Road, Kailash Nagar, Police Station, Basti Jodhewal, Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

٧s

Public Information Officer, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2708 of 2021

Present:- Shri Kesar Singh, appellant is absent. ASI Tarsem Lal-1689/LDH is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

ORDER

Shri Kesar Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 10.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today without any intimation to the Commission, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the sought for information has been provided by hand to the appellant and the appellant has executed a duly signed written submission after receiving the information.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of duly signed written submission executed by the appellant.

I have gone through the duly signed written submission executed by the appellant and he has submitted that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied with the information.

As the SPIO has provided the sought for information to the appellant and the appellant has also admitted through his duly signed written submission that he has received the information and he is satisfied with the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com Shri Pawan Kumar, S/o Shri Shiv Lal, Village Rupana, Tehsil & Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.



.....Appellant.

Public Information Officer, O/ o Block Dev. and Panchayat Officer, Block Lambi, Tehsil Malout, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority: O/o Distt. Dev. and Panchayat Officer, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

---- Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2721 of 2021

Vs

Present:- Shri Pawan Kumar, appellant is absent. None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Block Lambi, Tehsil Malout, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

ORDER

Shri Pawan Kumar, appellant filed RTI application dated 19.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Block Lambi, Tehsil Malout, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are absent today.

I have gone through the Order dated 30.09.2021 and both the parties were present on that date. Shri Harjinder Singh, PIO-cum-Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Tarmala assured the Commission that the information will be provided to the appellant within a week. So, the appellant was directed to visit the office of the SPIO on Monday i.e. 04.10.2021 at 10:00 A.M. and the respondent was further directed to provide the attested copies of sought for information to the appellant and get receipt from him that he has received the information and he is satisfied and also sent compliance to the Commission.

IN compliance to the Order dated 30.09.2021 the respondent has sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a photocopy of duly signed receiving dated 05.10.2021 executed by the appellant, Shri Pawan Kumar.

I have gone through the duly signed receiving dated 05.10.2021 executed by the appellant, Shri Pawan Kumar and he has submitted that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied with the information.

As the respondent PIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and provided the sought for information to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**